

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,987	01/21/2004	Scott L. Childs	14245US02	9485
Michael D. Ha	7590 09/13/2007		ВХАМ	INER
Michael B. Harlin McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. 34the Floor 500 West Madison Street			VALENROD, YEVGENY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60	Chicago, IL 60661			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·		
			09/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/763,987	CHILDS, SCOTT L.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Yevgeny Valenrod	1621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 July 2007</u> .						
'—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)∟	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-100</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-57 and 61-99</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>58-60 and 100</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
			ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		st the continue deplet het receive	<b>u</b> .				
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Rejections under 35 USC 112 1<sup>st</sup> and 35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraphs are withdrawn in view of applicants' remarks.

Rejection under 35 USC 102(b) made over Homeyer et al. is maintained.

Concerning Applicants' remarks:

In the first page of remarks Applicant has stated that claim 58 has been amended to more clearly indicate that the invention is directed to a method of screening for cocrystals. No such amendment is found in the claims filed on 7/9/07.

### New Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 58-60 and 100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are directed to a method of screening for a cocrystal of a salt. However, the recited steps are directed to formation of the cocrystal. The claims do not encompass steps directed to a screening method. It is unclear what the nature of the invention is. Is it a screening method as the preamble suggests or is it a method of preparing a cocrystal as the limitations suggest?

Application/Control Number: 10/763,987

Art Unit: 1621

Claims 58-60 and 100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In lines 5-6 of claim 58 the limitation recites: "said crystal" it is unclear which crystal the limitation is referring to.

Claim 58 recites the limitation "said crystal" in lines 5-6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Maintained Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 58-60 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Homeyer et al. (US 2,665,277 ('277)).

The instant claims are directed to a method of forming a co-crystal. The steps involved are: a) Selecting a salt of an active agent, b) theorizing coordination, c) selecting a guest, d) preparing a solution, e) performing crystallization and f) forming a cocrystal.

Of the above steps, "theorizing coordination" is not given any patentable weight.

The said step is purely mental with no physical consequences.

Page 4

Homeyer et al. describe a method of making morphine phosphate hemihydrate. The said crystal contains a salt of an active agent (morphine phosphate) and a guest (water). The method (column 2 line 50 – column 3, line 7) described in '277 involves: selecting a salt of an active agent (morphine phosphate), selecting a guest (water), preparing a solution (morphine phosphate is mixed with water), performing crystallization (the mixture is cooled), forming a crystal (column 3, lines 6-7).

# Response to applicants' remarks

Applicant has argued that the limitation "theorizing coordination of the counterion by hydrogen bond interaction within said crystal" was not considered in error. The Examiner maintains that "theorizing coordination" is not a patentable limitation.

Although it is not a patentable limitation, Homeyer et al. inherently meet the said limitation. In order to prepare the cocrystal, Homeyer et al. had to consider the possible hydrogen bonding interactions, and how they would effect the cocrystal formation.

#### Conclusion

Claims 1-100 are pending

Claims 1-57 and 61-99 are withdrawn

Claims 58-60 and 100 are rejected

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yevgeny Valenrod whose telephone number is 571-272-9049. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,987 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yevgeny Valenrod Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Yvonne Eyler

Supervisory Patent Examiner

**Technology Center 1600**